



Volume 2, Issue 2, 2025

Intuitions & Insights

An Interdisciplinary Research Journal

ISSN: 3048-6793



The Importance of Ward Committees in Urban Governance in India: Special Focus on Administrative Reforms Commission II (2005)

Bedanta Sinha

Department of Political Science, Raghunathpur College, Purulia, India

Email: bedanta555@gmail.com

Abstract: Urban governance in India has undergone significant reforms since the enactment of the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act (CAA), 1992, which mandated decentralized governance through municipalities. Ward Committees were introduced as an essential mechanism to empower citizens in municipal decision-making. Ward Committees (WCs) serve as decentralized governance bodies intended to enhance citizen participation, accountability, and efficient service delivery in urban local governance. The Second Administrative Reforms Commission (ARC) of 2005 emphasized strengthening local governance structures to promote participatory democracy. This paper explores the objectives, functioning, and challenges of Ward Committees through the lenses of The Second Administrative Reforms Commission and its recommendations in the Sixth report.

Keywords: Municipal governance, word committee, decentralization, policy making, people's participation.

*Corresponding author: B. Sinha

Received: 22.03.2025; Accepted: 25.07.2025; Published: 30.07.2025

1. Introduction

Urban governance in India has evolved significantly since independence, shaped by the country's rapid urbanization and the need for responsive local institutions. Traditionally, urban local governance was centralized, with state governments directly managing urban services and planning. However, this top-down approach often resulted in inefficiencies, lack of accountability, and limited citizen participation.

A major turning point came with the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992, which

provided a constitutional status to Urban Local Bodies (ULBs), including Municipal Corporations, Municipal Councils, and Nagar Panchayats. This amendment aimed to strengthen democratic decentralization in urban areas by mandating regular elections, greater fiscal autonomy, and the formation of institutions like Ward Committees to ensure grassroots participation.(Rai and Singh ,2008)

Despite these reforms, urban governance in India continues to face challenges such as overlapping jurisdictions, limited autonomy of municipal bodies, lack of financial resources, and weak citizen engagement. The need for improved urban governance has become even more urgent in light of India's rapidly growing cities and increasing demand for urban infrastructure and services.

In this context, the Second Administrative Reforms Commission (2005) emphasized the importance of efficient, transparent, and participatory urban governance. It advocated for empowering ULBs, strengthening Ward Committees, and enhancing citizen-centric governance as key strategies to address the urban governance deficit in India.

Decentralized structures such as Ward Committees play a pivotal role in strengthening urban governance by bringing decision-making closer to the people. Envisioned under the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992, Ward Committees are designed to foster local-level participation, enhance accountability, and ensure that urban governance reflects the real needs of communities.

Key Reasons for Their Importance:

- Deepening Participatory Democracy

Ward Committees allow citizens to directly engage in governance processes. This fosters a sense of ownership, encourages public oversight, and ensures that local voices are heard in urban planning and service delivery.

- Localized Decision-Making

Urban issues vary widely across wards—from waste management to water supply. Ward Committees help address such issues through context-specific decisions, leading to more efficient and responsive governance.

- Enhancing Transparency and Accountability

By involving residents in discussions and decisions, Ward Committees create channels for monitoring municipal activities, reducing the scope for corruption or negligence.

- **Improved Service Delivery**

Proximity to the grassroots enables quicker identification of problems and better prioritization of services like sanitation, road maintenance, and public health.

- **Platform for Civic Engagement and Inclusion**

They provide marginalized groups—including women, the elderly, and the urban poor—a platform to voice concerns and influence local policies, thereby promoting inclusive development.

- **Support to Municipal Governance**

Ward Committees act as a bridge between citizens and elected municipal representatives, helping to distribute governance responsibilities and reduce administrative burdens on central municipal offices.

In essence, Ward Committees are critical instruments for building bottom-up urban governance, aligning with democratic values and ensuring that urban development is more people-centred, transparent, and equitable.(Baud and De Wit,2008).

The Second Administrative Reforms Commission (ARC) was constituted by the Government of India in 2005 under the chairmanship of Veerappa Moily, with the objective of revamping the public administrative system to make it more efficient, accountable, and citizen-centric in the 21st century.

The ARC was tasked with reviewing the public administration system across various levels of government—central, state, and local—and recommending reforms to improve governance and service delivery. It was an important step toward addressing growing public dissatisfaction with bureaucratic inefficiencies, lack of transparency, and weak accountability mechanisms in governance structures.

Over its tenure, the Commission submitted 15 thematic reports, covering diverse areas such as ethics in governance, local government, citizen-centric administration, e-governance, and public order. One of the most relevant to urban governance was the Sixth Report titled “Local Governance: An Inspiring Journey into the Future”, which emphasized the importance of decentralized institutions like Municipalities and Ward Committees in strengthening grassroots democracy.

The ARC advocated for greater autonomy, accountability, and participatory mechanisms within urban local bodies, thereby highlighting Ward Committees as essential platforms for citizen engagement and responsive governance.

2. Purpose of the Paper

The primary purpose of this paper is to critically evaluate the objectives, functioning, and future prospects of Ward Committees in India's urban governance framework, using the vision and recommendations of the Second Administrative Reforms Commission (ARC), 2005 as the analytical lens. By aligning the constitutional mandate of Ward Committees with the ARC's broader goals of decentralization, citizen-centric governance, transparency, and accountability, the paper seeks to assess how far these committees have contributed to democratic urban governance and where they fall short. Through this analysis, the study aims to identify gaps between policy intent and on-ground implementation, highlight best practices, and suggest reforms necessary for strengthening Ward Committees as effective instruments of participatory democracy in India's urban landscape.

3. Ward Committees: Concept and Constitutional Mandate:

The concept of Ward Committees in India's urban governance structure originates from the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992, a landmark reform that provided constitutional recognition to Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) such as Municipal Corporations, Municipal Councils, and Nagar Panchayats. The amendment aimed to strengthen grassroots democracy in urban areas by promoting decentralization, autonomy, and citizen participation.

As part of this amendment, Article 243S mandates the constitution of Ward Committees in all municipalities with a population of three lakhs or more. These committees are to be formed at the ward or group of wards level, comprising elected members and representatives of the local community.

3.1 Key Constitutional Provisions (Article 243S)

- Mandatory formation of Ward Committees in municipalities with a population exceeding 300,000.
- Composition, territorial jurisdiction, and business conduct to be determined by State Legislatures.
- Function to bring governance closer to the people, facilitate local-level planning, and enhance participatory democracy.
- Purpose and philosophy: Enable citizen involvement in decision-making at the micro level, effectively communicate local needs and priorities.
- Applicability: Mandates a Ward Committee for each Municipality with a population of three lakhs or more.
- Composition and jurisdiction: State Legislature determines the composition, area, and business

conduct.

- Flexibility to States: Allows states to define their structure, powers, functions, and membership.

3.2 Objective of Article 243S

Article 243S in India aims to institutionalize participatory democracy at the ward level, enabling citizens to contribute to local governance, planning, and monitoring of service delivery. Ward Committees are crucial for promoting participatory governance in urban India, serving as institutional platforms that bring citizens directly into decision-making processes. They offer a formal space for citizens to voice concerns, suggest solutions, and participate in planning and monitoring public services. Ward Committees also act as a bridge between residents and elected municipal representatives, improving communication and responsiveness. They enable local residents to identify and prioritize development needs, ensuring effective allocation of resources. Ward Committees operationalize the democratic principle of "governance by the people" at the local level, transforming urban governance from a top-down bureaucratic system to a bottom-up participatory model. However, their practical implementation remains limited and inconsistent across states, with challenges such as delayed or non-formation, lack of uniformity, limited powers and functions, poor citizen awareness and participation, political apathy and control, and administrative bottlenecks.(Sharma ,2020).

4. Administrative Reforms Commission (ARC) 2005: Vision and Objectives

The Second Administrative Reforms Commission (ARC) was established by the Government of India in 2005 with the objective of recommending administrative reforms to make governance more efficient, transparent, accountable, and citizen-centric. The ARC aimed to reorient public administration to meet the challenges of the 21st century and address the growing demand for responsive and transparent government services.

4.1 Key Objectives of the ARC: ARC's Reforms and Recommendations

4.1.1 Reform of Administrative System-

- Aims to make public administration more efficient, accountable, and responsive.
- Focuses on reducing bureaucratic inefficiency, eliminating corruption, and improving public servants' service delivery capacity.

4.1.2 Decentralization of Governance

- Advocates for decentralization of power to local governments, especially in urban governance.

- Stresses on empowering local governments and enhancing citizen participation.

4.1.3 Citizen-Centric Governance

- Advocates for citizen engagement in governance.
- Advocates for a bottom-up approach, with citizens actively participating in decision-making.

4.1.4 Improved Service Delivery

- Recommends local bodies to enhance urban services.
- Suggests Ward Committees for monitoring and ensuring efficient provision.

4.1.5 Strengthening of Urban Local Bodies (ULBs)

- Provides ULBs with greater financial autonomy, administrative powers, and citizen engagement capacity.
- Emphasizes legislative and executive reforms for better functioning.

4.1.6 Promoting Transparency and Accountability

- Highlights the importance of transparency and accountability in public administration.
- Suggests reforms like social audits, public disclosure, and participatory budgeting.

4.2 Relevance to Ward Committees

In its vision for urban governance, the ARC recommended that Ward Committees should:

- Act as key mechanisms for citizen participation at the local level.
- Be empowered with clear functions such as planning, implementation, and monitoring of urban projects.
- Have the authority to ensure service delivery and play an active role in local government decisions.
- Enhance accountability by providing citizens with a direct say in how resources are allocated and services are managed within their wards.

4.3 Key Recommendations Related to Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) and Ward Committees: Strengthening Urban Local Bodies (ULBs)

- Empowering ULBs: Provides them with greater autonomy and responsibility over urban development and service delivery.
- Financial Autonomy: Allows ULBs to raise their own resources, reducing dependence on state and central government transfers.
- Capacity Building: Strengthens administrative and technical capacity of ULBs, particularly in terms of human resources and training for local government officials.

- Legislative and Executive Reforms: Improves ULBs' functioning through better financial management, capacity-building, and technological integration.
- Promoting Transparency and Accountability: Suggests reforms like social audits, public disclosure of information, and participatory budgeting to involve citizens in governance and ensure effective resource utilization.

5. Role of Ward Committees in Urban Governance

5.1 Institutionalizing Ward Committees

- Mandatory for cities with populations over 3 lakhs.
- Ward Committees should have a clear role in urban governance and local decision-making.

5.2 Citizen Engagement and Participation

- Ward Committees should deepen democracy by empowering residents to voice issues and propose solutions.

5.3 Planning and Budgeting

- Ward Committees should be involved in local-level planning and budgeting.
- They should prioritize development projects, allocate resources, and monitor scheme implementation.

5.4 Monitoring and Accountability

- Ward Committees should monitor urban service delivery.

5.5 Local-Level Dispute Resolution

- Ward Committees can resolve disputes related to civic amenities and land use.

Strengthening Urban Local Bodies (ULBs):

- Need for legislative and executive reforms for better financial management, capacity-building, and technological integration.

5.6 Promoting Transparency and Accountability

- Recommendations include social audits, public disclosure of information, and participatory budgeting.
- Legal and Institutional Reforms in Urban Development
- State Legislation for Ward Committees: ARC recommends legislation for uniformity in structure, function, and accountability mechanisms.
- Strengthening the Role of Municipalities: ARC suggests municipalities as primary urban

development vehicles, with Ward Committees as key links.

- **Decentralized Governance Framework:** ARC recommends a framework providing clear guidelines, powers, and resources for ULBs and Ward Committees to function independently.

6. Enhancing Accountability and Transparency in Urban Governance

- **Public Participation in Decision-Making:** ARC emphasizes transparency in local governance by involving citizens in decision-making processes. Ward Committees should be equipped with tools to hold officials accountable.
- **Social Audits and Feedback Mechanisms:** ARC recommends implementing social audits and citizen feedback mechanisms to ensure transparency and scrutiny of local governments.
- **Performance-Based Evaluation:** ARC calls for performance-based evaluations to assess service delivery, citizen engagement, and governance effectiveness.
- **Financial Empowerment:** ARC recommends decentralized financing for greater financial independence and project planning.
- **Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs):** ARC encourages PPPs at the local level to improve service delivery, infrastructure development, and economic growth.

6. Local Governance Reforms for Inclusivity

Inclusion of Marginalized Groups: The ARC recommended that Ward Committees be made inclusive by ensuring the participation of marginalized communities, such as women, Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), and other vulnerable groups, in decision-making processes.

Gender-Sensitive Governance: Special attention should be given to making Ward Committees gender-sensitive by encouraging the representation of women in these bodies and addressing their specific needs, such as safety, sanitation, and access to basic services. (Bhattacharya, 2022).

6.1 Evaluation of How Citizen-Centric Governance, E-Governance, and Participatory Planning Apply to Ward Committees

Ward Committees, as critical elements of local governance under the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act, are designed to enhance decentralized governance by providing a platform for citizens to directly participate in decision-making and monitoring the implementation of policies at the ward level. The effectiveness of Ward Committees is closely tied to citizen-centric governance, e-governance, and participatory planning.

This section evaluates how these three principles—citizen-centric governance, e-governance,

and participatory planning—can be applied to Ward Committees, exploring their implementation, challenges, and potential impact.(Qwabe and Mdaka ,2011)

6.2 Citizen-Centric Governance and Ward Committees

Application to Ward Committees

- Ward Committees promote citizen participation in local governance.
- They serve as platforms for citizens to discuss issues like infrastructure, public services, health, sanitation, and education.
- Ward Committees ensure local priorities are reflected in governance processes.
- They provide a platform for elected members to be accountable to local residents.
- They empower marginalized groups, ensuring their voices are heard and policies address their unique challenges.

Challenges in Practice:

- Limited Empowerment: Many Ward Committees lack the authority and resources to make binding decisions.
- Underrepresentation: Marginalized communities are often underrepresented in Ward Committees.
- Public Awareness: Many citizens are unaware of Ward Committees' roles and functions.

Impact on Citizen-Centric Governance

- Positive Impact: Ward Committees create a direct channel for citizens to influence local governance.
- Limited Impact: The lack of functional autonomy can limit effective citizen-centric governance.(Chattopadhyay,2012)

6.3 E-Governance and Ward Committees

Application to Ward Committees:

- E-Governance modernizes local governance, improving transparency, efficiency, and accessibility.
- Digital platforms facilitate online complaint management systems for citizens to report local issues.
- E-Governance digitizes budget allocation and fund utilization, providing citizens with access to financial data.
- Real-time updates keep residents informed about local development projects and meetings.

Challenges in Practice:

- **Digital Divide:** Low-income communities or older populations may lack access to smartphones, internet connectivity, or digital literacy.
- **Lack of Infrastructure:** Many Ward Committees lack the infrastructure or resources to implement comprehensive e-governance systems.
- **Limited Technical Support:** Local governments may lack the technical expertise to develop user-friendly and reliable e-governance platforms.

Impact on E-Governance in Ward Committees:

- **Positive Impact:** Increases transparency and efficiency by providing an online interface for residents to report issues.
- **Limited Impact:** Infrastructure and resource constraints hinder the full potential of e-governance tools.(Jha ,2018)

6.4 Ward Committees and Participatory Planning

Application to Ward Committees

- Ward Committees facilitate active participation in local development plans.
- **Community-Led Planning:** Ward Committees facilitate consultations and workshops to identify development priorities.
- **Participatory Budgeting:** Ward Committees use participatory budgeting to allow residents to vote on municipal funds allocation.
- **Monitoring and Evaluation:** Ward Committees involve citizens in monitoring and evaluating local projects.

Challenges in Practice:

- **Limited Authority in Decision-Making:** Ward Committees often lack executive powers to influence decisions at higher levels of government.
- **Insufficient Resource Allocation:** Ward Committees often lack the financial power to initiate or sustain large-scale development.
- **Inefficient Coordination:** The lack of coordination between Ward Committees and other municipal departments can hinder project execution.

Impact on Participatory Planning in Ward Committees:

- **Positive Impact:** Successful implementation ensures local projects reflect community needs and priorities.

- Limited Impact: The lack of financial and administrative power limits the overall impact of participatory planning.(Vidyarthee ,2006)

7. Challenges Faced by Ward Committees in India

There are several challenges faced by the Ward Committees in Urban Governance. They can be summarized as follows.

7.1 Lack of Uniformity Across States

- The 74th Constitutional Amendment Act mandates the establishment of Ward Committees in urban areas.
- The implementation and functioning of these committees vary significantly across states, leading to disparities in empowerment and functionality.
- Different legislative frameworks and budget allocations result in inconsistencies in Ward Committees' constitutedness, powers, and selection criteria.
- This results in ineffective local governance, with some areas having well-functioning Ward Committees while others struggle to get their committees off the ground.

7.2 Over-Centralization of Powers at the Municipal Council Level

- Municipal councils hold significant powers over urban planning, resource allocation, and policy-making, leading to an over-centralization of authority.
- Ward Committees may have limited autonomy for implementing decisions or influencing budget allocation.
- Without proper authority or financial independence, Ward Committees become advisory bodies, unable to directly address local community challenges.

7.3 Poor Awareness Among Citizens

- Many citizens are unaware of the existence and function of Ward Committees, which undermines their effectiveness.
- Low public engagement and inadequate outreach programs lead to low participation in Ward Committee meetings, consultations, and decision-making processes.
- Lack of public engagement results in poor governance and underperformance.

7.4 Political Resistance

- Ward Committees often face political resistance at various levels, which undermines their effectiveness.

- Political parties may try to manipulate Ward Committees for their own gains, diverting focus from genuine community issues to party agendas.
- Resistance to the devolution of power to Ward Committees can result in poor policy implementation and inefficiencies in governance.
- This resistance can discourage citizens from participating in Ward Committees, weakening the core principles of participatory governance.

7.5 Addressing these challenges

- **Uniformity Across States:** Central government should provide a clearer, standardized framework for the establishment and functioning of Ward Committees.
- **Decentralization of Powers:** States should grant greater autonomy to Ward Committees.
- **Awareness Campaigns:** Local governments should launch comprehensive public awareness programs.
- **Reducing Political Interference:** Strengthening institutional safeguards to ensure Ward Committees remain focused on local issues.
- **Capacity Building:** Local governments should invest in training and capacity building for Ward Committee members.(Jha ,2018).

8. ARC (2005) Recommendations for Strengthening Ward Committees in India

To address the challenges faced by Ward Committees and align them with the vision of the Administrative Reforms Commission (ARC), several recommendations can be made. These recommendations are aimed at enhancing the effectiveness, empowerment, and participatory nature of Ward Committees, ultimately improving urban governance in India.

8.1 Align State Municipal Laws More Closely with ARC Suggestions

Recommendation:

Aligning Municipal Laws with ARC's Recommendations

- States should align their municipal laws with ARC's recommendations on decentralization, citizen engagement, and empowerment of local bodies.
- Uniform legal frameworks should clarify roles, responsibilities, and powers of Ward Committees.
- Strengthening legal recognition of Ward Committees ensures their autonomy in decision-making on local issues.
- Adopting ARC's vision of decentralization allows Ward Committees more autonomy in

decision-making.

- Uniform laws across states enhance efficiency and responsiveness of Ward Committees.
- Empowered Ward Committees can address local issues effectively.

8.2 Strengthen Legal and Financial Autonomy of Ward Committees

Recommendation:

Ward Committees: Legal and Financial Autonomy

- Granting Ward Committees direct access to funds and a larger share of local taxes or development funds.
- Involving Ward Committees in budget formulation and decision-making to allocate and spend funds in their areas.
- Ensuring Ward Committees have legal authority to act on decisions within their mandate.
- Improving Service Delivery: With control over resources, Ward Committees can prioritize and fund projects based on local needs, improving residents' quality of life.

8.3 CT Tools for Participatory Governance

- Utilize digital platforms for citizen engagement: Mobile apps and web portals facilitate real-time citizen feedback and track public projects.
- Implement e-governance tools for transparency: These tools ensure transparent allocation and spending of funds.
- Set up an online grievance redressal system: This enhances accountability and prompt resolution of issues.
- Use data analytics for data-driven decision making: These tools assess community needs and track local development projects' impact.
- Increase citizen participation: ICT tools facilitate citizen engagement and feedback.
- Improve efficiency: Digital platforms streamline administrative processes and ensure real-time data-based decisions.

8.4 Capacity-Building for Ward Committee Members and Community

- Invest in training programs for Ward Committee members on budgeting, project management, community engagement, and conflict resolution.
- Launch programs to educate residents about their rights, functions of Ward Committees, and participation in local governance.

- Identify and nurture local leaders to facilitate community mobilization and encourage active participation.
- Collaborate with NGOs, community-based organizations, and academic institutions for technical support and capacity-building resources.
- The impact is enhanced decision-making and increased community engagement.(Lathakimi , 2012)

9. Conclusion

The Administrative Reforms Commission (ARC) 2005 presented a vision for strengthening local governance in India, emphasizing the need for decentralization, empowerment of local bodies, enhanced transparency, and participatory governance. While substantial progress has been made in urban governance, the ARC's vision remains relevant as a guiding framework for ongoing reforms, particularly in the context of Ward Committees.

Reflecting on ARC 2005's Vision and its Continued Relevance:

The ARC's recommendations have significantly influenced local urban governance, advocating for decentralization, participatory governance, transparency, accountability, and grassroots democracy. These principles are crucial in addressing contemporary challenges in urban management, particularly in the face of rapid urbanization and the growing complexity of urban governance. The ARC envisioned a decentralized system where power, resources, and decision-making were transferred to the local level, which is essential today as urban local bodies struggle with a lack of autonomy. Participatory governance is essential, and Ward Committees, which serve as platforms for local participation, can still achieve this spirit. The ARC's focus on transparency, accountability, and good governance remains vital in the modern context. Ward Committees also play a crucial role in promoting civic engagement and addressing local issues at the community level, ensuring that urban governance is responsive and inclusive.

In Conclusion, India's urban governance system, as outlined by the ARC in 2005, is crucial for fostering local empowerment, participatory governance, and accountable administration. Ward Committees play a vital role in deepening democracy at the grassroots level by ensuring local empowerment, participation, and accountability. To adapt to current challenges, the ARC recommends strengthening legal frameworks, enhancing financial autonomy, using technology for engagement, and building local capacity. By reaffirming the importance of Ward Committees, India

can ensure that urban governance remains inclusive, transparent, and reflective of citizens' needs and aspirations. By embracing ICT and modern tools for engagement, the spirit of participatory governance can be realized in today's urban environment. Ward Committees also strengthen grassroots democracy by enabling local solutions to local challenges and promoting civic engagement among residents. This approach strengthens the democratic fabric of urban India and fosters a deeper connection between the government and the people.

Acknowledgment: The author is thankful to the editor and the referee for their valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] Rai, N., & Singh, A. K. (2008). Decentralized urban governance in India. Working Paper). Lucknow University Campus, Lucknow University: Regional Center for Urban and Environmental Studies.
- [2] Sharma, P. (2020). Opportunities and struggles of decentralized governance reform for urban municipalities in India. *World Development Perspectives*, 17, 100174.
- [3] Baud, I. S. A., & De Wit, J. (2008). New forms of urban governance in India: Shifts, models, networks and contestations. SAGE Publications India Pvt Ltd.
- [4] Chattopadhyay, S. (2012). Decentralized urban governance: Participation and accountability in West Bengal's municipalities. *Environment and Urbanization ASIA*, 3(1), 185-202.
- [5] Qwabe, B., & Mdaka, P. (2011). Are Ward committees the "voice" of communities? *Recognising Community voice and dissatisfaction*, 64.
- [6] Bhattacharya, R. (2022). Ward Committees as "invited Space": is it Successful? A Literature Review of Urban India. Institute for Social and Economic Change.
- [7] Jha, G. (2018). Fragile urban governance: evolution, decline, and empowerment of local self-government in India. Routledge.
- [8] Alok, V. N. Local Governments in India: Vision for 2047. *India 2047: High Income with Equity*, 201.
- [9] Lalthakimi, Z. F. (2012). Second Administrative Reforms Commission in India: Recommendations on Local Self Government.
- [10] Vidyarthi, M. K. (2006). 4. Ward Committee: A Tool for Participatory Local Governance. *WARD POWER*, 51.

[11] Jha, G. (2018). *Fragile urban governance: evolution, decline, and empowerment of local self-government in India*. Routledge.